

Hamiltonian varieties of universal algebras

By L. KLUKOVITS in Szeged

A group is called Hamiltonian if its every subgroup is normal. Generalizing this notion, T. EVANS [4] introduced the Hamiltonian property of loops. He called a loop A Hamiltonian if its every subloop is a block of certain congruence of A , and proved that a variety of loops is Hamiltonian (i.e., every loop is Hamiltonian in the variety) if and only if it is a variety of Abelian groups. In this paper we shall study a natural generalization of this notion for universal algebras and describe the Hamiltonian varieties of universal algebras. Our way is independent of that of EVANS and furnishes, among others, a new proof of his result, too.

Definition. A universal algebra (shortly: algebra) $\langle A; \Omega \rangle$ is called *Hamiltonian* if its every subalgebra is a block of some congruence of $\langle A; \Omega \rangle$. A variety of algebras is called Hamiltonian if every algebra is Hamiltonian in it.

This condition was applied in some earlier papers of K. SHODA [6] and B. CSÁKÁNY [3].

We shall often use the following lemma which is a special case of a theorem of MAL'CEV ([5] Theorem 5).

Lemma. *Let $\langle A; \Omega \rangle$ be an algebra and B a subset of A . The subset B is a block of some congruence of $\langle A; \Omega \rangle$ if and only if for any translation τ of A either $B\tau \subseteq B$ or $B\tau \cap B = \emptyset$ ($B\tau$ is the image of B under the mapping τ).*

The Hamiltonian varieties are characterized by the following

Theorem 1. *A variety of algebras \mathfrak{U} is Hamiltonian if and only if for any n -ary polynomial symbol g there exists a ternary polynomial symbol k_g such that the identity*

$$(*) \quad g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k_g(x_0, g(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_1)$$

holds in \mathfrak{U} .

Proof. Let the variety \mathfrak{U} be Hamiltonian and consider the free algebra $F(\in \mathfrak{U})$ generated by the set $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. For any n -ary polynomial symbol g , the mapping $\tau: y \rightarrow g(y, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ ($y \in F$) is a translation of F . Consider the subalgebra A of F generated by the set $\{x_0, g(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_1\}$. Since $x_0\tau \in A$, by the lemma, we have $x_1\tau \in A$. Thus there exists a ternary polynomial symbol k_g such that the equality

$$x_1\tau = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = k_g(x_0, g(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n), x_1)$$

holds. Since F is a free algebra of the variety \mathfrak{U} this equality is an identity in \mathfrak{U} .

Now, we suppose that for every n -ary polynomial symbol g of the variety \mathfrak{U} there exists a ternary polynomial symbol k_g of \mathfrak{U} satisfying the identity (*). Let $D(\in \mathfrak{U})$ be any algebra and A a subalgebra of D . Consider a translation τ of D such that $a\tau \in A$ for some $a \in A$. This translation can be given by a polynomial symbol $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n): a\tau = g(a, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ where $d_2, \dots, d_n \in D$. Then, using (*), for any element $b(\in A)$ we have

$$b\tau = g(b, d_2, \dots, d_n) = k_g(a, g(a, d_2, \dots, d_n), b)$$

and therefore $b\tau \in A$. By the lemma, the proof is complete.

In theorems 2—5 we establish some basic properties of Hamiltonian algebras.

Theorem 2. *For any algebra A the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *A is Hamiltonian,*
- (ii) *any subalgebra of A generated by three elements is a block of some congruence of A .*

Proof. Since (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious it is enough to prove that (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that every subalgebra of A generated by three elements is a block of certain congruence of A but A has such subalgebra A_1 which is not a block of any congruence of A . Thus, by the lemma, there are elements a_1, a_2, a_3 in A and A has a translation τ such that $a_1\tau = a_2$ and $a_3\tau \notin A_1$. Now the subalgebra of A generated by the set of three elements $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ is not a block of any congruence in view of the lemma and we have got a contradiction, which completes the proof.

Theorem 3. *The Hamiltonian property is local.*

Proof. We shall show if an algebra A is not Hamiltonian then it has a finitely generated subalgebra which is not Hamiltonian.

Let A be any algebra and A_1 a subalgebra of A which is not a block of any congruence of A . Now, by the lemma, A has a translation τ ($a\tau = g(a, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ for every $a \in A$) and A_1 has elements b, c, d such that

$$b\tau = c \quad \text{and} \quad d\tau \notin A_1.$$

Consider the subalgebra A_2 of A generated by the finite set $\{b, c, d, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. The algebra $A_1 \cap A_2$ is such a subalgebra of A_2 which is not a block of any congruence of A_2 (τ is a translation of A_2 , too). The proof is complete.

The reader can prove in a routine way the next

Theorem 4. *Any homomorphic image and any subalgebra of a Hamiltonian algebra is Hamiltonian, too.*

In view of Birkhoff's characterization of varieties, by Theorems 3 and 4 the following question is raised: does the class of all Hamiltonian algebras of the same type form a variety? The answer is in the negative. Indeed, the Cartesian square of the quaternion group is not Hamiltonian.

Theorem 5. *In any category of Hamiltonian algebras the epimorphisms are surjections.*

Proof. Let A and B be Hamiltonian algebras. Suppose that the epimorphism $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow B$ is not surjective. Then B has a proper subalgebra C which is the image of A under the epimorphism ε . Since B is Hamiltonian, it has a congruence θ_c such that C is a block of θ_c . Consider the following homomorphisms α_1, α_2 from B into B/θ_c :

$$b\alpha_1 = [b]\theta_c, \quad b\alpha_2 = C$$

for all $b \in B$. Now, it is clear that $\varepsilon\alpha_1 = \varepsilon\alpha_2$. But $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ which is a contradiction.

Theorem 6. (T. EVANS [4].) *A variety \mathfrak{A} of loops is Hamiltonian if and only if it is a variety of Abelian groups.*

Proof. Let the variety \mathfrak{A} of loops be Hamiltonian. Then \mathfrak{A} is (polynomially) equivalent to a variety \mathfrak{R} of all unital right R -modules over a ring R with identity element (see [3], Theorem 4). Every operation of \mathfrak{R} can be written as a sum of unary operations [2] and therefore we have the identity

$$(*) \quad x \circ y = x\alpha + y\beta$$

where " \circ " is the operation of the loop and $\alpha, \beta \in R$. Under this equivalence the identity element e of the loop corresponds to the zero element of R . Substituting $x=e$ and $y=e$ respectively we have got $\alpha = \beta = 1$ (the identity element of R). Thus the operation of the loop is associative and commutative.

In an earlier paper [7] we studied the Abelian property of universal algebras. We called the algebra $\langle A; \Omega \rangle$ Abelian, if for any operations μ and ν (m - and n -ary, respectively) and any matrix $(a_{ij})_{n \times m}$ over A the equality

$$(a_{11} \dots a_{1m}\mu) \dots (a_{n1} \dots a_{nm}\mu)\nu = (a_{11} \dots a_{n1}\nu) \dots (a_{1m} \dots a_{nm}\nu)\mu$$

holds. It is easy to verify that for varieties of loops, rings and lattices the Abelian and the Hamiltonian properties coincide, i.e., if a variety of loops (rings, lattices) is Hamiltonian then it is Abelian, and conversely. Therefore, a natural question can be raised: do the two properties coincide at any variety? The answer is in the negative. We can find a counter example among the varieties of unital semimodules over a semiring [2].

Theorem 7. *The variety \mathfrak{R} of all unital right semimodules over a semiring \mathbf{R} with identity element is Hamiltonian if and only if \mathbf{R} is a ring.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{F} \in \mathfrak{R}$ be a free algebra with the set of free generators $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Any polynomial symbol $g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ of \mathfrak{R} can be written in the form

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + x_n \gamma_n$$

where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbf{R}$. If \mathfrak{R} is Hamiltonian we have, by Theorem 1, ternary polynomial symbol $k_g(x, y, z) = x\alpha_1 + y\alpha_2 + z\alpha_3$ such that in \mathbf{F} the equality

$$x_1 \gamma_1 + x_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + x_n \gamma_n = x_0 \alpha_1 + (x_0 \gamma_1 + x_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + x_n \gamma_n) \alpha_2 + x_1 \alpha_3$$

holds. Since \mathbf{F} is a free algebra in \mathfrak{R} this equality is an identity in \mathfrak{R} and we have the following set of equations

$$(*) \quad \alpha_1 + \gamma_1 \alpha_2 = 0, \quad \alpha_3 = \gamma_1, \quad \gamma_i \alpha_2 = \gamma_i \quad (i = 2, 3, \dots, n).$$

This set of equations has to be valid for any polynomial symbol g with suitable α_1, α_2 and α_3 . If $\gamma_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have got from $(*)$ that $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 1$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$, hence it follows $\alpha_1 = -1$, therefore \mathbf{R} is a ring.

On the other hand, if \mathbf{R} is a ring then the set of equations $(*)$ can be solved at any γ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Indeed, $\alpha_1 = -\gamma_1$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\alpha_3 = \gamma_1$ are solutions.

Theorem 8. *The variety \mathfrak{R} of all unital right semimodules over a semiring \mathbf{R} with identity element is Abelian if and only if \mathbf{R} is a commutative semiring.*

Proof. Let the variety \mathfrak{R} be Abelian and consider the following operation " \circ " of \mathfrak{R} :

$$x_1 \circ x_2 = x_1 \alpha + x_2 \beta,$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Since the semiring \mathbf{R} under its own addition and right multiplication is a unital \mathbf{R} -semimodule in \mathfrak{R} , by the definition of the Abelian property, we have $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$, using the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Such operations can be constructed by any elements α, β of R and thus we have proved that the multiplication in R is commutative.

The sufficiency is obvious.

Since the classes of rings and commutative semirings do not contain each other there exist Abelian and non Hamiltonian varieties and conversely.

The author expresses his thanks to B. CSAKÁNY for his valuable suggestions during the elaboration of this theme.

References

- [1] G. GRÄTZER, *Universal Algebra*, Van Nostrand (1968).
- [2] B. CSAKÁNY, Primitive classes of algebras which are equivalent to classes of semimodules and modules, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **24** (1963), 157—164. (Russian).
- [3] B. CSAKÁNY, Abelian properties of primitive classes of universal algebras, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **25** (1964), 202—208. (Russian).
- [4] T. EVANS, Properties of algebras almost equivalent to identities, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **35** (1962), 53—59.
- [5] A. I. MAL'CEV, On the general theory of algebraic systems, *Mat. Sbornik* (N. S.), **35** (77) (1954), 3—20. (Russian).
- [6] K. SHODA, Zur Theorie der algebraischen Erweiterungen, *Osaka Math. J.*, **4** (1952), 133—143.
- [7] L. KLUKOVITS, On commutative universal algebras, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **34** (1973), 171—174.

(Received June 4, 1973)